Page 1 of 4

7

13

8.

10

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

23

22

24 25

26 27

28

Attorney Fees and Costs in the instant action (CM/ECF Document 1). A copy of the Decision in OAH Case No. N2007090067 was attached to said Complaint/Appeal as Exhibit "A".

- On January 8, 2008 the District filed (by fax) its Answer to Complaint and Counterclaim against Plaintiff (CM/ECF Document 3).
- On January 8, 2008 the District filed (by fax) a related action, entitled 3. "FALLBROOK UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT, Plaintiff v. CHRISTOPHER S., PAUL STRUBLE AND MARY STRUBLE, Defendants, Complaint for Violation of the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act" and was issued USDC, SDCA Case No. 08 CV 0049 H (AJB). The District's Complaint attached the same Decision in OAH Case No. N2007090067 as the Decision attached as Exhibit "1" to Plaintiff's Complaint.
  - 4. On January 28, 2008, Plaintiff filed a Reply to Counterclaim (CM/ECF Document
- On Sunday, January 27, 2008 at 1:05 p.m. Plaintiff herein was served with the 5. District's Summons, Complaint and Civil Cover Sheet in the District's case.
- There is no indication on the Civil Cover Sheet, USDC, SDCA Case No. 08 CV 0048 H (AJB) of any related case. The Civil Cover Sheet is signed by the attorney of record for the District.
- 7. Not only is the District's case related to the instant case, the claims and relief sought in the District's case are virtually identical to those sought in the Counterclaim in the instant case; to wit:

7 0049 H (AJB)	07 CV 2328 LAB (CAB)		
2	¶	50	
3		51	
4		52	
5		53	
6 (unintelligible)			
9		54	
10		55	
	2 3 4 5 6 (unintelligible) 9	2 3 4 5 6 (unintelligible)	

	Case	3:07-cv-02328-LAB-CAB	Document 9	Filed 01/29/2008	Page 3 of 4		
1		11		56			
2		12		57			
3		13		58			
4		15		59			
5		16		60			
6		17		61			
7		18		62			
8		19		69			
9		20		70			
10	<u> </u> 	21		71			
11		22		72			
12		23		73			
13		24		74			
14		25		75			
15		26		76.			
16	8.	8. There is no separate relief sought in the District's later-filed case.					
17	9.	9. Accordingly, Plaintiff respectfully Requests that the later-filed be transferred to					
18	the judge and Magistrate Judge in the instant case under the "low number rule," so that						
19	Plaintiff's Motion To Dismiss can be brought in this courtroom.						
20	10.	Alternatively, Plaintiff requ	ests that an Order	r to Show Cause issue	as to why the		
21	later-filed complaint should not be dismissed.						
22			Respec	tfully submitted,	į		
23							
24	Dated: Januar	y 29, 2008	Ellan D	Ce VM			
25			defenda	lowd, Attorney for Pla unt, Mary Struble	intiff/Counter-		
26							
27							
28							
			3	07 CV 2328 L			
				Notice of Re	elated Case		

## 

PROOF OF SERVICE

Mary Struble v. Fallbrook Union High School District USDC, SDCA Case. No. 07 CV 2328 LAB (CAB)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA ) COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO )

I am employed in the County of San Diego, State of California. I am over the age of 18, and not a party to the within action. My business address is 2658 Del Mar Heights Road #228 Del Mar, California 92014.

On January 29, 2008 I caused to be served the foregoing documents described as Notice of related Case, Case No. 07 CV 2328 LAB (CAB) on all interested parties in this action as follows:

Sharon A. Watt, Esq. Filarsky & Watt 408 Bryant Circle, Suite "C" Ojai, California 93023 (805) 640-2970

X BY MAIL. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon full prepaid, in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing stated in this declaration.

Executed on January 29, 2008 at San Diego, California.

XX (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above

Ellen Dowd

Signature